1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
10	JUSTIN NICHOLAS JENNINGS,	
11	Petitioner,	CASE NO. 3:22-cv-05314-TL-JRC
12	V.	ORDER TO FILE AMENDED PETITION
13	JACK WARNER,	
14	Respondent.	
15		ı
16	This matter is before the Court on referral from the District Court and on petitioner's 28	
17	U.S.C. § 2254 petition for a writ of habeas corpus.	
18	Having reviewed the petition, the Court declines to order respondent to file an answer as	
19	the petition does not comply with the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. The Court, however,	
20	provides petitioner leave to file an amended petition by July 8, 2022, to cure the deficiencies	
21	identified herein.	
22		
23		
24		

1 The Rules Governing Section 2254 and 2255 Cases 1 require that a petition— 2 (1) specify all the grounds for relief available to the petitioner; (2) state the facts supporting each ground; (3) state the relief requested; 3 (4) be printed, typewritten, or legibly handwritten; and (5) be signed under penalty of perjury by the petitioner or by a person authorized to 4 sign it for the petitioner under 28 U.S.C. § 2242. 5 Rule 2(c). 6 In addition, the petition must substantially follow this District's form petition. See Rule 7 2(d). 8 The petition here suffers from several defects preventing the Court from directing service 9 and an answer at this time. First, petitioner has not clearly delineated individual grounds for relief. 10 The District's form clearly requires petitioner to separately list each particular ground and the 11 underlying facts and legal argument. However, it appears that petitioner is attempting to bring 12 multiple grounds for relief within the same "ground" in the petition. For instance, under "Ground One," petitioner appears to challenge both the trial court's limitations on a toxicologist's testimony 13 14 and the prosecutor's arguments during closing. See Dkt. 1, at 7–9. These are distinct issues that 15 should be listed under separate grounds for relief. Petitioner should review his grounds for relief and separate out each individual ground for relief, including providing the information about 16 17 whether he has exhausted *each* separate ground that is required by the District's form. 18 Second, petitioner does not clearly specify the facts underlying ground four for relief. 19 Rather, he generally asserts that the state supreme court "cherry picked" the record and states that 20 he has highlighted "some of" the issues in the supreme court opinion. Dkt. 1, at 16; see also Dkt. 1, at 17 (providing "examples"). This is inadequate; the petition should not leave this Court to 21 22 23 ¹ Available at https://www.uscourts.gov/sites/default/files/rules-governing-section-2254and-section-2255-proceedings.pdf. 24

speculate regarding the grounds for relief. Petitioner must explain the particular supporting facts specifically enough to understand each of his claims. He should not rely on attaching appendices to explain his claims but should clearly articulate the factual basis for each of his grounds within his petition.

Third, petitioner does not include the information required by the form petition for certain of his grounds. Specifically, petitioner must include information about whether he raised *each* ground for relief in his direct appeal and in any post-conviction motion or petition for habeas corpus in a state trial court. Petitioner must explicitly include this information for each of his grounds for relief but has omitted it for certain grounds. *See* Dkt. 1, at 21–27 (grounds five and six); 31–34 (grounds eight through eleven).

Petitioner is advised that he may pursue federal habeas relief only *after* he has exhausted his state judicial remedies. *See Preiser v. Rodriguez*, 411 U.S. 475, 477 (1973). The exhaustion of state court remedies is a prerequisite to the granting of a petition for writ of habeas corpus. 28 U.S.C. § 2254(b)(1). A petitioner can satisfy the exhaustion requirement by providing the highest state court with a full and fair opportunity to consider all claims before presenting them to the federal court. *Picard v. Connor*, 404 U.S. 270, 276 (1971); *Middleton v. Cupp*, 768 F.2d 1083, 1086 (9th Cir. 1985). This means that petitioner should file a direct appeal or personal restraint petition in state court prior to seeking relief in federal court. Full and fair presentation of claims to the state court requires "full factual development" of the claims in that forum. *Kenney v. Tamayo-Reyes*, 504 U.S. 1, 8 (1992). In addition, 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d)(1)(A) requires a prisoner to file a habeas petition within one year of "the date on which the [state court] judgment [of conviction] became final by the conclusion of direct review or the expiration of the time for seeking such review[.]" However, this period is tolled during the time a state court

considers a properly filed application for post-conviction relief, such as a personal restraint 2 petition. 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d)(2); Pace v. DiGulielmo, 544 U.S. 408, 410 (2005). 3 If petitioner intends to pursue this habeas action, he must file an amended petition complying with Rule 2 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases on the form provided by the 4 5 Court. The amended petition must provide all the information required therein and should not 6 rely on other documents to state the grounds for relief. The amended petition must also be legibly 7 rewritten or retyped in its entirety, it should be an original and not a copy, it should contain the same case number, and it may not incorporate any part of the original petition by reference. The 8 9 amended petition will act as a complete substitute for the original petition, and not as a supplement. 10 If petitioner fails to adequately address the issues raised herein and file an amended petition on or 11 before July 8, 2022, the undersigned will recommend dismissal of this action. 12 The Clerk is directed to provide petitioner with the forms for filing a petition for habeas corpus relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The Clerk is further directed to provide a copy of this 13 order to petitioner and to update the docket to reflect that petitioner's amended petition must be 14 15 filed on or before July 8, 2022. Dated this 8th day of June, 2022. 16 17 Marof Cualius 18 J. Richard Creatura Chief United States Magistrate Judge 19 20 21 22 23 24